# Minutes of PARDI first Paris meeting

## 11th july 2017

The purpose of this report is to keep track of the questions and discussions which occurred during the presentations. Refer to the slides for more details.

## **Participants**

Souheib Baarir, Sylvain Conchon, David Declerck, Lom Hillah, Aurélie Hurault, Alain Mebsout, Stephan Merz, Pascal Poizat, Philippe Quéinnec, Mattias Roux, Fatiha Zaïdi.

#### Content

#### Progress on Cubicle "classic" (Alain Mebsout)

New version of Cubicle (1.1.1)

- Murphi (an explicit model-checker) is used as an oracle for the enumerative forward pass. It helps in finding invariants used in backward reachability.
- Language extensions: macros, new logical operators
- L. Matthews et al. "Verifiable Hierarchical Protocols with Network Invariants on Parametric Systems", FMCAD 2016
- Documentation is to come.

#### Progress on Cubicle with weak variables (David Declerck)

Cubicle with weak variables.

- TSO semantics for weak variables
- Fence to force consistency
- Axiomatic memory model: reads and writes generate events. Events are ordered according to several partial orders (e.g. total order in a process, a reading of a value occurs after the writing of this value, etc). This yields an happened-before relation, which must be acyclic (linearisable) for the execution to be possible.
- Currently, no invariant generation including a weak variable.
- Can this approach be adapted to other memory models? Not done, but seems possible.
- This is close to message sending and receiving, and to partial orders in distributed algorithms. It seems reasonable to adapt this approach to channels (FIFO).
- Shared memory seems closer to broadcast communication (one writer, and a set of reader) than to point-to-point communication (where the receiver consumes the message, making it unavailable for other processes).

#### Cubicle: forward abstracted reachability (Mattias Roux)

- How to build an inductive invariant, by strengthening an initial invariant with the preimage of the bad states.
- Backward reachability with set cardinality #{p. crit[p] = True} > 1

#### Translation TLA+ to Cubicle (Stephan Merz)

Translation of a fragment of TLA+ into Cubicle language.

- Translation of the fragment of TLA+ which is the closest to Cubicle.
- Safety only as Cubicle does not check liveness.
- Use a standardized type invariant to generate Cubicle declarations.
- Support enumerative types (set of strings in TLA+), boolean, int and array of processes.
- TLA+ actions with a standard form (Act(i) == guard /\ x' = e /\
  ...) are translated in Cubicle transitions.
- Array support obviously translates [ x EXCEPT ![p] = e ] into Cubicle corresponding syntax.
- Currently rather preliminary (and not necessarily an important objective of Pardi), but could ease the writing of examples.
- Cubicle counter-examples are not translated back as a TLA+ traces, but the translation is close enough and it should be possible (not an objective).
- Available on github.

## Case studies and Parameters (Philippe Quéinnec)

- Presentation of the available case studies
- Analysis of the parameters used in these examples
- Details in deliverables D1.1 and D1.2
- New framework for the verification of communicating peers

#### Progress on workflow models (Pascal Poizat)

- BPMN verification is mainly concerned with behavioral models and uses ad-hoc translation to Petri nets, LTS,...
- Trend: control flow (BPMN) + data
- Objective: give FOL semantics for BPMN, then translate to SMT-lib, TLA+, Cubicle. Discussions on the choice of SMT-lib, why not prefer a richer tool with existing libraries for advanced data structure (e.g. why3)?
- Case studies:
  - Expand the Exam Management System to include multiple students and professors.
  - New: an eConference system (e.g. a simplified version of easychair).
    - \* a data model is required.
    - \* multiple processes can be realized by the same human user.
    - $\ast\,$  data-related numerical parameters: e.g. every paper is reviewed by n1 to n2 reviewers.

- Questions:
  - Communication parameters? Topologies?
  - Distributed algorithms as choreographies?
  - Parameters for external services?

### **Deliverables**

- D1.1 Case studies: see repository.
- D1.2 Report on the case studies and the considered parameters (also in the repository).

## **Incoming Actions**

- Next meeting in November at Paris Saclay.
- Initial definition of a parametric WF DSML (workflow domain-specific modeling language) at t0+12 (first version). This corresponds do the first iteration of deliverable D4.1.
- Principles of interaction between an interactive theorem prover and a model checker (deliverable D3.1).